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STATEMENT OF PURPOSE

The idea behind the CONFERENCE ON NEW ENGLAND ARCHAEOLOGY was born in the autumn of 1979. At that time, Geoff Moran and the Rhode Island Historic Preservation Commission held a forum which brought together some thirty archaeologists active in Rhode Island to discuss methodological and theoretical issues. At that meeting it became obvious that the approaches being tried by one set of specialists (historic archaeologists, for instance) might be useful to another set of specialists (such as prehistoric
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or industrial archaeologists); on the other hand, it was also obvious that such exchanges of information were less common than one would hope. In addition, it was concluded that no vehicle for the rapid dissemination of information, especially information in the form of manuscripts and cultural resource management reports, exists.

At the same meeting, it was decided to investigate the possibility of forming a new organization to meet these needs and Mike Roberts of Harvard University's Institute for Conservation Archaeology chaired a meeting of several scholars to discuss the prospect during the winter of 1980. Their conclusion was that such an organization was desirable and an organizational meeting was held at the Northeastern Anthropological Association meetings that spring. At that time, a base membership list was compiled and a Steering Committee was formed to bring the organization into being. During the course of the fall, the Steering Committee put together a philosophy, this Newsletter, and plans for a Forum at which time the reins will be passed to elected committee members.

**Philosophy**

As its basic philosophy, the CONFERENCE ON NEW ENGLAND ARCHAEOLOGY has maintained and elaborated on that derived from Providence in 1979: there is a need for broad and rapid interchange of information among archaeologists of diverse specializations.

The first Forum, for example, has a theme of contrasting upland and lowland adaptations and settlement patterns (a theme discussed in Peter Thorbahn's lead article in this Newsletter). Various subspecialties treat this theme differently, but the theory and methods applied may be of use beyond a single subspecialty. Most organizations bring together only (or principally) members of a single subspecialty and provide an opportunity for needed exchange by those specialists, but they rarely provide an equally needed opportunity for exchange among members of different specializations. This CONFERENCE was formed to fill this need.

This ambitious goal dictates that membership be as wide as possible: professional and amateur, drawn from all specialties and from related fields such as geography or history. Interest in the archaeology of New England and a willingness to share perspectives are the only criteria. To try to contact that wide audience, this first Newsletter is being mailed widely, but after that, only members will receive it.

The second major goal of the organization -- rapid information dissemination -- has long been recognized as needed. The lag between submission and publication can be measured in years and many unpublished reports, theses, and manuscripts contain valuable information which often is tapped by only a limited readership. The current research and bibliography sections of the Newsletter are designed to help fill these needs. Finally, the Newsletter can serve as a vehicle for productive speculation and the presentation of "trial balloons" -- underdeveloped ideas which can stimulate thought but which are inappropriate for other publications.

**Implementation**

No organization spontaneously organizes itself in response to a constituency's needs and the Steering Committee has organized the CONFERENCE ON NEW ENGLAND ARCHAEOLOGY according to its perceptions of the needs and wishes of New England's archaeological community. It has planned publishing two issues of the Newsletter and convening one meeting per year, and has produced this first issue of the Newsletter. We have drawn our base membership list from our combined personal knowledge, experience, and information networks, but we recognize gaps; indeed, these gaps are the very reasons that CONEA has come into existence. Our effort has been to begin the structure for communication, but the entire CONEA membership must enter into the process of communication if the organization is to be successful.

At the Forum in February, 1981, new members of the Steering Committee will be selected. As we see it, they will make major decisions, such as next year's Forum topic, and will appoint committees to produce the Newsletter and plan the Forum. To make CONEA survive, many of us must be willing to donate our time to committee membership. But to make CONEA work as it should, we must all be willing to contribute by sending in brief and pithy letters, bibliographic entries, and current research reports. These should follow the format given (elsewhere) in this Newsletter and should be mailed to the editor. An attempt will be made to include as much as possible, especially material of wide interest.

In the Southwest, the Pecos Conference has convened annually for over 50 years and is one of the nation's most prestigious regional conferences. It serves as a medium of rapid information exchange and operates entirely on the good will of those participating. It is a fine model for New England and one which we have drawn upon for this organization. In its attempt to include an even broader membership, CONEA must draw upon even broader participation. Nothing is free in this world: if you want CONEA to continue, you must do your part.

Russell J. Barber
for the Steering Committee
Maintaining Diversity in New England Archaeology

The purpose of this article is to encourage productive discussion among the participants at the First CONFERENCE ON NEW ENGLAND ARCHAEOLOGY. The problem is that in our relatively small geographic area there is a formidable diversity of temporal and regional interests, theoretical and methodological outlooks, and training and experience among the students of New England's past. This might lead one to ask, "what, if anything, do we have to say to one another?"

If we talk to our usual cronies, we'll hear many of the same war stories we've all heard so many times before. As much as one would like to be on one's own, brilliant, many of us have no hope of drawing on recently collected data and incomplete analyses so one can hope for is a series of highly tentative conclusions (also read speculations). At worst, anything said may prove to be complete balderdash. If we try to talk with a different, possibly somewhat unfamiliar ground with our fellow New England archaeologists, there is a good chance that the conversation would revolve around issues and information about which the "outsider" would know little or nothing (and in some cases, could care less). For example, why would any self-respecting industrial archaeologist willingly submit to an exhaustive treatment of the "small stemmed point problem" by a bunch of prehistorians? The interactional difficulties which many of us have experienced can be traced to the deep divisions and lack of consensus among New England archaeologists. Yet the upcoming conference in Cambridge is founded on the premise that one of the region's most valuable intellectual resources could care less. For example, why would we care about the "outsider" would know little or nothing (and in some cases, could care less). For example, why would any self-respecting industrial archaeologist willingly submit to an exhaustive treatment of the "small stemmed point problem" by a bunch of prehistorians? The interactional difficulties which many of us have experienced can be traced to the deep divisions and lack of consensus among New England archaeologists. Yet the upcoming conference in Cambridge is founded on the premise that one of the region's most valuable intellectual resources was this very same diversity of interests and viewpoints. Russell Barber has more than adequately articulated this principle in the Statement of Purpose for this Newsletter and the upcoming conference. In this article, I will first suggest that there are recognizable factors in the cultural and natural history of New England which have led to the intellectual divisions that exist among us today. Second, following Dena Simon, an attempt will be made to add to her outline of problem definition in New England studies (1980), in order to show that specific research goals with apparently divergent themes can productively bear upon larger questions of common concern. Third, some of these analytical issues will be presented which might be addressed so that we can begin to take fuller advantage of the analytical opportunities inherent in New England archaeology. The factors in the region's history and prehistory that condition our present intellectual ferment can be recognized by considering the high degree of diversity and complexity in New England's environments and the variety of means by which human populations have responded to their natural and cultural surroundings. Until now, the tendency has been for individual archaeologists to focus on limited temporal and behavioral ranges in New England's past. Some prehistorians concentrate on coastal adaptations, or socioeconomic variations within and among drainage basins, or locational aspects of seasonal rounds, to name a few topics. In historical period research, there are those who deal with normative characteristics of Anglo-American material culture. One can find archaeologists working on either side of Native American/European contact studies. There are industrial archaeologists who reconstruct technologies, reconstruct rural mill communities, or reconstruct transport networks. I would submit that we are doing so many different kinds of research in so many different ways (e.g. remote sensing, underwater survey and excavation) because the people we study reacted in so many ways to so many different environments in which they lived.

That observation is hardly profound, but what is remarkable about New England archaeology is that there is so little integration of research beyond the limits of specific problems. Yet, in some manner or means, we are all examining the same general issues—the description and interpretation of cultural systems and the explanation of their stability in diverse and complex, temperate ecological systems. At Brown's Public Archaeology Laboratory, we have been fortunate in having the chance to work in two very different areas of Southern New England, the coastal lowlands and the interior uplands. In looking at specific biotic communities (both past and present), it has become apparent that they possess relatively few species with a much smaller subset of organisms dominating the community. Therefore, at the community level, there is generally such dominance. For example, grass forms the vast majority of the floral component. A few species of bottom-dwelling invertebrates, fish and birds make up most of the fauna in the marsh. This is characteristic of the near coastal communities in New England (Sanders, 1969).

However, any one low diversity community is part of a larger ecological system with high structural complexity. In the Narragansett Basin, a salt marsh community, there is a system which includes a mosaic of distinctive soil-plant-animal associations, including a variety of wetlands, moist forests and excessively drained, xerophytic communities (Thorbahn et al., 1980; Goldsmith and Simon, 1979; Bradshaw and Nelson, in preparation). Within 40 kilometers of the shore, this pattern of simple communities in a complex mosaic is repeated at the edge of the New England uplands, represented by the dissected ridge and valley system in the ancient penplain of northern Rhode Island and south-central Massachusetts (Thomson, 1958:129-131).
At the ecosystem level, these physiographic zones contain highly complex and diverse environments which, on the basis of the available data, were the scene of equally complex settlement processes. Among the 26 prehistoric sites we have intensively sampled (out of nearly 200 located) to date, sizes range from a few square meters in rock shelters to over 8 hectares (20 acres) of high density artifact deposition. Temporal ranges of occupation at these sites are variable, with as yet no discernable pattern of occupational periods within or among the coastal, interior lowland and upland zones. Sharp discontinuities in periods of occupation within sites are common. For example, one very small (less than 3000 square meters), open-air site on an island in an interior wetland has apparently discrete deposits dating to the Early Archaic in one case, but to terminal Late Archaic and Woodland times in another.

This is not to say that patterning does not exist in this region's prehistoric settlements. Solomon (1980) has just completed a first stage analysis of the Archaic lithic assemblages from two interior lowland sites and two coastal sites (one of which is the Greenwich Cove site, excavated by Rhode Island College under the direction of E. Pierre Morenon, and funded by the Rhode Island Historical Preservation Commission). The results show that chipped stone tool associations in coastal and interior sites are significantly different. Within zones the assemblages are also patterned differently. In functional terms, sites within both zones seem to be divided between tool production/materials-processing activities at some sites (preforms, bifaces, scrapers) and resource procurement at others (point fragments, unifaces). Between zones, the combined assemblages of inland sites are weighted for procurement and tool production (point fragments and preforms) while the coastal assemblage is dominated by tool implements, especially bifaces. Solomon concludes that the data admit two alternative models of settlement pattern. The first is a highly differentiated seasonal round involving both coastal and interior zones, or two independent systems with different emphases reflecting different resource bases along the coast and in the interior.

In discussing Late Archaic settlement patterns of the Boston Basin, Dena Dincauze has concluded that groups were divided by the coast during the summer (1974:48). This pattern has been extended to include the whole of the Atlantic coastal plain (Tuck, 1978:35). Yet data from Maine indicate that exactly the reverse was true—summer camps in the interior and coastal occupation in the winter (Bourque, 1973). These interpretations fit only one of Solomon's alternative models.

In one or more of the investigators may be "wrong" in this specific interpretation of archeological hunter/gatherer settlements. However, a truly exciting prospect would be if all of them are right! How then can we account for this degree of variation in settlement processes among a presumably socially undifferentiated, low energy-based, cultural system? Once this sort of question is posed, then the issue is no longer the relative merits of individual researchers, but rather the contribution of specific studies to the larger issues of common concern.

If all this prehistory hasn't lost the interest of readers whose primary focus is the historic period by now, some amends will be attempted in the final section. Three topics, hopefully of mutual interest, are suggested for discussion at the upcoming conference at Harvard:

1. The coastal lowlands and interior uplands of New England presented sharp environmental contrasts for the people who lived in them, yet, in human terms, one zone was never entirely isolated from the other. Are there any general models of settlement process which can deal with both areas as a larger analytical unit? For example, many areas of the Berkshires and White Mountains were colonized and then depopulated in the short span of 100 years, from the late 18th to the late 19th Centuries. Thorbahn and Mrozowski (1979) have suggested that these events can be explained largely by a general tendency for environmental degradation on a local scale. Paynter (1980), on the other hand, has shown that long distance, macro-economic processes could have had a profound effect on the regional distribution of settlements in the New England interior. What are some of the implications of these alternative models for archaeological interpretation?

2. Continuing with the basic lowland/upland division, recently a series of models advancing fundamental principles of hunter/gatherer subsistence and settlement have appeared (Binford, 1980; Jochim, 1976; Yellen, 1977). Can any aspects of these ethnographically based models be profitably applied to account for variation in New England prehistoric data from both environmental settings? To what degree are they "analogically" limited? For that matter, what role can the spectrum of documentary evidence play in formulating models and hypotheses for New England historians and prehistorians?

3. The upland/Lowland dichotomy would seem to make some sense in terms of distinctive environments in the past. However, given the high degree of cultural and natural diversity in the region, are there other divisions which might be meaningful? The northern and western edges of the Narragansett Basin can be different from the sandy plains within the basin or the ridge and valley penecape of the neighboring uplands. Between the
two is a band of unsorted moraine, which, for the most part, was apparently not attractive to people in either the historic or prehistoric period. Yet within this ground moraine of rocky, poorly drained soils, we found some of the most interesting sites in the combined upland/lowland area. The School Street, or Bailey-Grover Site, dating to the late 17th or early 18th Centuries, (Stachiw, 1978; Rubertone and Gallhager, in preparation) consists of a small cellar hole and a few enigmatic, non-structural features. In the assemblage of mostly European manufactured artifacts were found chipped stone tools and aboriginal ceramics. Faunal remains were equally divided among wild and domesticated species. Was this a habitation on the Anglo-Native American frontier? If so, why was it located in such an agriculturally marginal area, with so much favorable land available? What should one expect to find in sites on the interior frontiers of early New England?

Not far away from the School Street Site, the Rumford River Site (Simon, in preparation) is located on a headwater stream of the Taunton River drainage system. It is a small, low density deposition of lithic debitage and a few bifacial tools in association with features containing densely packed, fire-cracked rocks. It has been dated to A.D.580 (1370 ± 160 C-14 years B.P., GX-7413). This Middle to Late Woodland site bears more structural resemblance to some Archaic, short term, hunting/gathering camps we have excavated (Cox, in preparation) than to sites associated with the mixed horticultural and hunting/gathering economies postulated for the period. It should not be too surprising that some interior Woodland sites would represent limited activities based on collection of wild resources. But why should they be found in marginal environments when some proportion of wild subsistence resources were being replaced with domesticates?

Obviously, this limited grab-bag of topics closely reflects the research concerns of archaeologists at Brown, but they are offered to stimulate a reaction from those of you who will be coming to Harvard in February. If the reaction takes the form of ash-canning these suggestions, so much the better. In a limited way, I have attempted to respond to a previous challenge that came from each of the keynote speakers at the Amherst conference (Moore, 1980). They called for archaeologists in New England and the Northeast to turn their attentions to the formulation of questions founded in a broad theoretical framework which we can all address to one degree or another. In this way, the barely organized chaos of archaeological research in New England can be turned to our common advantage.

Peter F. Thorbahn
Public Archaeology Laboratory
Brown University
October, 1980
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1980 Military Documents and Archaeological Sites: Methodological Contributions to Historical Archaeology. Unpublished Ph.D dissertation, Department of Anthropology, Brown University, Providence, RI
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Bourque, Bruce J.
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1978 Prehistoric Settlement Patterns in the Concord River Watershed. Ms. on file, Peabody Museum, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA
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DePaoli, Neill

DuPuis, Melanie
1979 Adaptation and Interaction: A Late Archaic Settlement Pattern Study in New England. B.A. honors thesis, Department of Anthropology, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA

Eaton, G.F.
1898 "The Prehistoric Fauna of Block Island as Indicated by its Ancient Shellheaps," The American Journal of Science, V. vi. Copies Rhode Island Historic Preservation Commission

Freeman, Beth
1979 Locational Analysis of Industrial Sites: a Research Design. Unpublished ms., Department of Anthropology, Brown University, Providence, RI

Gates, William S. and John Worrell
1978 Small Things Considered: Guidelines for Field Recording in Archaeological Excavation. Typescript in use with the Archaeological Field School at Old Sturbridge Village, Sturbridge, MA
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Handsman, Russell
1980 Historical Archaeology and Capitalism, Subscriptions and Separations: The Production of Individualism. Text of a paper presented at the 13th Annual Meeting of the Society for Historical Archaeology, Albuquerque, NM. American Indian Archaeological Institute, Washington, CT

Institute for Conservation Archaeology
1980 List of reports. Institute for Conservation Archaeology, Peabody Museum, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA
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Lacy, David M.
1978 Bibliography of Archaeological Survey and Mitigation Reports: Massachusetts. Massachusetts Historical Commission, Boston, MA

Loring, Stephen
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McManon, Sarah F.

Morenon, E. Pierre
1979 Towards Predicting Site Locations in Rhode Island: The Hudson Collection Sites versus Randomly Collected Points. Unpublished ms. on file at Rhode Island College, Providence, RI

Mott, John A., and Frank White
1978 Barns of New England. Survey report to the Research Department of Old Sturbridge Village, Sturbridge, MA.

Mrozowski, Stephen, Susan Gibson, Peter Thorbahn
1979 Archaeological Investigations in Queen Anne Square, Newport, Rhode Island. Unpublished ms. Public Archaeology Laboratory, Brown University, Providence, RI

N.E. River Basins Commission
1980 Report on Hydropower Potential in New England. 8 volume report which includes site location maps. 53 State St., Boston, MA (617)223-6244
Odell, George H. and Garth Bawden
1978 In Search of a Methodology for Discovering Archaeological Sites in a Temperate Forest Environment. Unpublished ms. on file at Rhode Island Historical Preservation Commission, Providence, RI

Parks, Roger N.

Penn, Theodore Z.

Penn, Theodore Z. and John Worrell

Perlman, Stephen M.
1970 A New Variety of Quartz Stem Point from Nantucket. Ms. on file, Department of Anthropology, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA

Perotte, Lynne
1972 Food and Medicinal Uses of Plants by Indians of the Northeast with Special Emphasis on Vermont. Ms. on file, Department of Anthropology, University of Vermont, Burlington, VT

Randall, Louise
1974 A Theoretical Reconstruction of the Utilization of the Natural Plant Environment of the Prehistoric Shellfish Collectors of Peddocks Island, Boston Harbor, Massachusetts. Undergraduate honors thesis, Department of Anthropology, Boston University, Boston, MA

Ritchie, K. Duncan
1977 A Regional Prehistory for Southern New England. Ms. on file, Public Archaeology Laboratory, Brown University, Providence, RI

Roberts, Michael E., ed.
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Sallaway, Philip

Salwen, Bert

Sanger, David, and Robert G. Mackay, eds.
1973 Maine Prehistory: A Selection of Short Papers. Department of Anthropology, University of Maine at Orono, ME


Stokinger, William, and Geoffrey Moran

Turnbaugh, Sarah Peabody and William A. Turnerbaugh
1980 Rhode Island's Prehistoric Past: Catalog of Rhode Island Artifacts in the Collection of the Museum of Primitive Culture. University of Rhode Island, Kingston, RI

Wafel, Stephen G.

Wood, Cynthia

Worrell, John
1979 How Do You Test History in a Lab?, Rural Visitor, V. 19, #2:4-7

1980 Burning a Kiln of Ware the Way Hervey Brooks Did. Text of a paper presented at the 13th Annual Meeting of the Society for Historical Archaeology, Albuquerque, NM. Old Sturbridge Village, Sturbridge, MA
THE FORUM

The intended purpose of this two day Forum is to provide those interested in New England Archaeology with an opportunity to meet and discuss current findings, research and ideas in an informal setting. Although topical meetings can be planned before the Forum, rooms will be available for interest groups which will form during the Forum. The Forum Committee urges those with unpublished manuscripts which they would like to circulate to bring them; xeroxing facilities will be available for a small fee.

The Forum fee is included in the dues. Non-members will be charged $5.

Questions concerning the Forum activities should be directed to the Forum Committee (see front cover).

Two hotels are available within walking distance of the Science Center: Harvard Motor House, 110 Mt. Auburn St., Cambridge (617)864-5200 (S)$41, (D)$48; and Holiday Inn, 1651 Massachusetts Ave., Cambridge (617)491-1000 (S)$37, (D)$46. No conference rates were available.

DIRECTIONS

By public transportation: bus or subway to Harvard Square or Brattle Station; walk across Harvard Yard; Science Center is large modern building next to Memorial Hall at north end of Yard. By car: from Boston head West on either Storrow Drive or Memorial Drive; from Storrow Dr. exit at and cross Larz Anderson Bridge (Boylston St.). Boylston St. runs directly into Harvard Square and merges with Mass. Avenue—follow signs for Cambridge St. bearing right through the underpass. As you exit from the underpass stay to the left of the fire station to the set of lights; turn left onto Quincy St., and take a left at the next light onto Kirkland St. The large modern building directly in front of you is the Science Building. Parking: on-street parking near the Science Center is rarely available. The least expensive lot is behind the Brattle MBTA Station and the Harvard Motor Inn — follow walking directions to Science Center.

THE FIRST FORUM
of the
CONFERENCE ON NEW ENGLAND ARCHAEOLOGY

February 14-15, 1981
Science Center
Harvard University
1 Oxford Street
Cambridge, Mass.

Schedule

Saturday, February 14

9:00-12:00 General Session – Upland and Lowland New England Settlements. A panel discussion will begin this session.

12:00-1:30 Lunch – CONEA will provide a guide with suggestions on types of restaurants

1:30-4:30 General Session continues. Small discussion rooms will be available for splinter groups.

Saturday evening activities will be left to the individual participants. CONEA will provide a local guide to restaurants, bars, and activities and will provide a clearinghouse for people who want to form groups or have local parties.

Sunday, February 15

9:00-11:00 Topical meetings. Rooms will be available for groups with particular interests to meet.

11:00-12:00 CONEA business meeting.

12:00-1:30 Lunch.

1:30-4:30 Topical meetings continue.
MEMBERSHIP APPLICATION

To apply for annual membership to the CONFERENCE ON NEW ENGLAND ARCHAEOLOGY, please fill out the following form. The $5.00 membership includes the next issue of the Newsletter and registration to the Forum.

Make checks payable to:
CONFERENCE ON NEW ENGLAND ARCHAEOLOGY
Mail to: Beth Bower
Treasurer -- CONEA
Museum of Afro-American History
Box 5 Dudley Station
Roxbury, MA 02119

NAME

ADDRESS

PHONE

☐ I will attend the Forum on February 14-15 at Harvard University

☐ I am interested in a position on the Steering Committee and have enclosed a 2-3 sentence description of my archaeological interests (to be distributed at the meeting before balloting).